



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                     | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/976,025  | 10/15/2001      | Takashi Oki          | SPO-0200            | 1564            |
| 9629  | 7590 11/17/2004 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP                          |                 |                      | FAULK, DEVONA E     |                 |
| 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20004 |                 | <b>W</b>             | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   | o, 20 2001      |                      | 2644                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/976,025   | OKI, TAKASHI   |  |  |  |  |
| Autiony Addon  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Devona E. Faulk  | 2644   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi                      | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in                                 |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE                      | f the final rejection.<br>E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>I statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth  | er consideration and/or search <del>(</del>  | see NOTE below);   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or   | in better form for appeal by mat   | erially reducing or simplifying the  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:  | ling a corresponding number of   | finally rejected claims.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection  | etion(s):  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a s   | eparate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which were newly   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | •  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app   | proved or b) disapproved by  | the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  | <u></u>  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   | -170   | and a second   |  |  |  |  |
| CODECTED W ISEN  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SUPERVISOR'  | PATENT EXAMINER  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |